

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,154	11/09/2001	James Fuller Potter	10541-868	5941
7:	590 08/19/2003			
Daniel B. Schein			EXAMINER	
P.O.Box 10395			MOY, JOSE	PH MAN
Chicago, IL 6	0610		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3727	
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003	)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/053,154	POTTER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph Moy	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irreply be timely filed irreply (30) days will be considered timely. WITHS from the mailing date of this communication (ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 J	l <u>uly 2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims</li> </ol>			is
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-17 and 20-24</u> is/are	e withdrawn from conside	eration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 ,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	•		
	arniner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440( ) ( )) ( ()	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	i priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *.c) ☐ None of:			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica	tion).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti</li> </ul>	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	y Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	.•

Serial Number: 10/053154

Art Unit: 3727

Applicant's election of the invention I claims 1-8, 18 and 19 without traverse has

been acknowledged.

Claims 9-17, 20-24 are withdrawn from consideration as drawn to the non-elected

invention.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed

publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in

public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the

United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Brown

et al (US 2001/0029994 A1) figure 11. Brown et al show all the structure of the device

as recited by the claims.

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.102(b) as clearly anticipated by

Kleyn (US 5,547,096). Claims 18 and 19 are product-by-process claims. The courts have

been holding for quite some time that "—in spite of the fact that a product-by-process

claims may recite only process limitations, it is the product which is covered by the claim

and not the recited process steps" (In re Hughes, 182 USPQ 106). The only structure that

is claimed in claims 18 and 19 is a vehicle fuel tank.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Brown et al. Brown et al shows all the structures of the device as recited by the claims except the claimed material. It would have been obvious to make the fitting out of any desired well known material.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Any inquiry concerning this office action will be directed to Examiner Joseph Moy, (703) 308-1145. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging faxing of responses in Office Actions directly into the group at (703) 305-3579 or (703) 305-3580. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by Applicants who authorize charges to a USPTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and the art unit at the top of your cover sheet.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing e.g. copies of references cited, from PTO-1449, form PTO-892., etc requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Bembry at (703)306-4005.

Date: 08/15/2003

loseph Man-Fu Moy Primary Examiner